

# Interoperability Standards Priority (ISP) Task Force 2021 Report to the Health Information Technology Advisory Committee

**(DRAFT)**

**JUNE 9, 2021**



# Table of Contents

<b>Background .....</b>	<b>3</b>
ONC Charges To the ISP Task Force .....	3
Overarching Charge.....	3
Detailed Charge .....	3
Additional Background Information.....	3
<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>5</b>
Introduction.....	5
<b>Recommendations .....</b>	<b>8</b>
List of Specific recommendations.....	8
<b>Appendix A .....</b>	<b>16</b>





# Background

In the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Cures Act there was a mandate for the National Coordinator to convene the HIT Advisory Committee to identify priority uses of health information technology, identify existing standards and implementation specifications that support the use and exchange of electronic health information needed to meet those identified priorities, publish a report summarizing the findings of the analysis and make appropriate recommendations.

On March 11, 2021, the ISP Task Force was restarted to carry out the charge above over the next three months, delivering this final report to the HIT Advisory Committee on June 9, 2021.

## ONC CHARGES TO THE ISP TASK FORCE

### Overarching Charge

The ISP Task Force for 2021 is charged to identify opportunities to update the ONC Interoperability Standards Advisory (ISA) to address the HITAC priority uses of health IT, including related standards and implementation specifications.

### Detailed Charge

The Task Force's specific charges were to provide the following:

1. **(March 2021)** ISP Task Force reviews ISA and identifies opportunities to update “Interoperability Needs” within the ISA sections to address HITAC priority uses of health IT
2. **(April/May 2021)** ISP Task Force develops draft recommendations to add/modify any “Interoperability Needs” for considerations in updates to the ISA, including related standards implementation specifications. ISP Task Force considers public feedback in developing recommendations.
3. **(June 2021)** ISP Task Force submits final recommendations to the HITAC for approval. HITAC reviews, approves, and submits recommendations to the National Coordinator.

## ADDITIONAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The ISP Task Force (TF) assembled various subject matter experts via multiple hearings and testimonies. Those topics included health equity, EHR Data Use for the “Learning Health System” based on COVID-19 experience in pragmatic trials, real world evidence, comparative effectiveness, etc. (e.g., UK RECOVERY trials), Burden Reduction and associated Clinical/Administrative Data and Standards Harmonization and lastly, and Public Health Situational Awareness.

External testimony included the following presentations:





### **Situational Awareness – April 1, 2021**

“The SANER Framework,” given by Keith Boone, Project Lead and Lauren Knieser, Director, Emergency Preparedness and Response, both from Audacious Inquiry

### **Health Equity – April 8, 2021**

“Project GRAVITY,” given by Robert Dieterle (Technical Director, Project Gravity)

### **EHR Data Use for the “learning healthcare system”, comparative effectiveness, etc. – April 16, 2021**

“Observational Health Data Sciences and Informatics, Interoperability, and Research,” given by George Hripcsak, MD, MS, Columbia University Irving Medical Center

“PCORnet® Observations: After 13 years, has “Meaningful Use” Generated Data that is Meaningful for Research?” given by Russ Waitman, PhD, Univ Missouri School of Medicine

“The National Covid Cohort Collaborative,” given by Chris Chute, MD, DrPH, Johns Hopkins School of Public Health, and Melissa Haendel, PhD, University of Colorado

### **Burden Reduction and associated Clinical/Administrative Data and Standards Harmonization – April 29, 2021**

“ICAD Task Force Findings & Recommendations,” given by Alix Goss, VP, Imprado, Former member NCVHS

Note: Links to all presentation materials are available via the ISP Task Force calendar: <https://www.healthit.gov/hitac-events/6871/2021>





# Executive Summary

## INTRODUCTION

The Task Force conducted a Delphi Method process to prioritize interoperability needs based on ONC priority areas and Task Force member input.

The Task Force prioritized and assessed the standards landscape via multiple hearings for:

- Health Equity
- EHR Data Use for the “Learning Health System” based on COVID-19 experience in pragmatic trials, real world evidence, comparative effectiveness, etc. (e.g., UK RECOVERY trials).
- Burden Reduction and associated Clinical/Administrative Data and Standards Harmonization

The Task Force additionally heard testimony on, and provided recommendations for:

- Public Health Situational Awareness

The Task Force deferred recommendations for Public Health standards and implementation guidance to the Public Health Data Systems Task Force.

The Task Force **recommends** that a future incarnation of the ISP Task Force explores standards and implementation guidance for:

- Care Plans/Chronic Dx Management
- Data Sharing Between Federal & Commercial Entities
- Portal Data Aggregation Across Multiple Portals
- Occupation and Location of Work

## HIGH LEVEL RECOMMENDATIONS

The Task Force makes high level recommendations in the following areas:

**01 Recommendation** - In order to support multiple areas that require configured extensions of EHRs and other Health IT systems, we **recommend** that ONC advance standards and implementation guidance in the following foundational areas using FHIR, for broader maturity, production, adoption, and eventual incorporation into certification criteria:

- a. HL7 FHIR standards to address workflow hooks, including FHIR CDS Hooks and FHIR Subscription





- b. HL7 FHIR standards to allow configurable flexible data collection via FHIR Questionnaire
  - c. HL7 FHIR standards to allow collection of consents, authorizations, and directives via FHIR Consent.
- 02 Recommendation** - In order to reduce the expense of research and administrative processes by enabling maximal appropriate reuse of data captured for clinical care, we **recommend** that ONC support the mapping of USCDI and FHIR to the common research model as well as to the implied administrative data model.
- 03 Recommendation** - In order to improve interoperability and innovation, we **recommend** that ONC work with other Federal stakeholders to move the nation towards terminology standards that are developed in accordance with OMB Circular A-119 (on Voluntary Consensus Standards), have licenses that allow open use by providers, researchers, developers, patients and other stakeholders (through national licensing where appropriate), and are designed to address multiple needs (clinical care, research, public health, administrative needs). In areas where code sets that do not conform to this policy are currently required by Federal actors, we **recommend** that ONC work with key Federal stakeholders (such as NLM, CMS, FDA, NIH, etc.) to transition the nation towards terminology meeting the policy through means including, but limited to, licensing, working with terminology curators to align development with the policy, or transitioning terminology standards.
- 04 Recommendation** - In order to support use of social determinants of health to improve health, health care, and public health, we **recommend** that ONC implement the HL7 Gravity Project standards.
- 04 Recommendation** - In order to maximize use of clinical data to reduce disparities, increase health equity, and support public health we **recommend** that ONC ensure that key existing interoperability flows are updated to use published standards and implementation guides, and that deployment of those standards and implementation guidance prioritize the interoperability of key demographic and social determinants data.
- 05 Recommendation** - In order to reduce the expense and delays associated with pragmatic research we **recommend** that ONC, in conjunction with other Federal stakeholders, supports the current work to align towards a common research model.
- 06 Recommendation** - In order to reduce clinical burden and improve the experience of individuals in the health care system, we **recommend** that ONC advance the recommendations of the ICAD Task Force, and that ONC advance next generation administrative standards via the ISA.





**07 Recommendation** - In order to reduce the expense of downstream normalization and maximize appropriate data use, we **recommend** that ONC, in conjunction with other Federal stakeholders, promulgate policy to ensure that data are captured in a normalized way as close to source as possible, and that Federal stakeholders converge on common terminology standards where there is current divergence.

**07 Recommendation** - In order to maximize the use of the deployed EHR base to research and the learning health system, we **recommend** that ONC work with stakeholders to develop key standards and implementation guidance to enable clinical research using EHRs.





# Recommendations

## LIST OF SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS

### ISP-TF-2021\_Recommendation 01 - Foundational Standards - FHIR

There are several foundational FHIR-based standards and implementation guides that provide general support for specific usages, including the priority areas identified by the Task Force, when configured to do so by clinical institutions:

- Triggers/hooks and substrate for Clinical Decision Support, incorporating questionnaires and follow-up information for public health, social determinants, prior authorization, decision support, ask at order, etc. via *FHIR CDS Hooks* (<https://cds-hooks.org/>) or triggering asynchronous workflows via *FHIR Subscription* (<https://www.hl7.org/fhir/subscription.html>)
- Standard for collecting information not routinely collected in the EHR such as additional data for clinical research and the learning health system, social determinants, public health, via *FHIR Questionnaire* (<https://www.hl7.org/fhir/questionnaire.html>)
- Framework for collecting consents, authorizations, directives, etc., for clinical research and the learning health system, social determinants, etc. via *FHIR Consent* (<https://www.hl7.org/fhir/consent.html>)

The Task Force notes that these standards are at various levels of maturity, with CDS Hooks, for at least some trigger types) being the most advanced.

#### Recommendation

- a. We **recommend** that ONC ensure that these standards and implementation guides are tracked via the ISA, and invest in development, testing and production usage of these standards and related IGs for broader maturity, production, adoption, and eventual incorporation into certification criteria

### ISP-TF-2021\_Recommendation 02 - Foundational Standards – Common Data Models

We found that, while the deployed EHR base in the US was used for retrospective research supporting therapies and treatment planning for Covid-19, research needs







required substantial remapping and normalization of captured clinical data for research. Much of this work required potentially lossy normalization of terminology and extraction of clinical events from the often administratively driven clinical data capture process. Because there are multiple research data models in place, researchers often performed sometimes lossy remapping between clinical models. The task force found that work was being performed to align on a single research model. The task force additionally found that Bulk FHIR, while potentially useful for research extracts, required remapping from the person-centered implied graphs of FHIR to the more relational-style research models

### Recommendations

- a. We **recommend** that ONC should continue to map USCDI to HL7 FHIR and older foundational standards such as HL7 v2 and CDA, and, in order to maximize the use of captured clinical data for research, social determinants/health equity, and administrative burden reduction, we **recommend** that ONC build a clear and rapid roadmap to expand USCDI which should incorporate research and administrative needs. (For clarity, we do **not** believe that ONC should require that EHR data capture be primarily driven by research needs; we rather believe that data captured for clinical care should be maximally useful for research).
- b. The Task Force recommends that ONC clarify that expanded UCSDI data definitions apply to Bulk FHIR. The Task Force believes that expanding the standardized export of codified clinical and research data via Bulk FHIR is preferable to having researchers rely on non-standardized EHR “data dumps.”
- c. We **recommend** that ONC work with industry stakeholders, and FDA, CDC, CMS, NIH and other relevant government agencies to map USCDI to broadly disseminated research data models as well as HL7 FHIR, and other concrete interoperable representations.

***For our recommendations on reducing the burden of lossy vocabulary transformations, see the next section. See also our specific recommendations for “EHR data use for research, Real World Evidence, RECOVERY-like trials, Comparative Effectiveness” and “Administrative Burden Reduction”***

### ISP-TF-2021\_Recommendation 03 - Foundational Standards – Terminology

The task force found that the ISA and USCDI contain well founded terminology systems for interoperability. However, we found that the lack of upstream codification (normalizing





data as close to source creation as possible) and divergence between administrative and clinical terminology creates significant burden for EHR data use for real world evidence, comparative effectiveness, and other research activities and creates administrative burden by requiring dual coding. In addition, the implied mandate to use coding systems that were not designed by voluntary consensus standards processes, are not open or broadly licensed to be freely available for all stakeholders or are primarily designed for administrative, rather than clinical needs, inhibits maximal appropriate use of data.

## Recommendations

- a. We **recommend** that ONC work with Federal stakeholders to establish policy that moves the nation towards terminology standards that are
  - i. Developed in accordance with OMB Circular A-119 (on Voluntary Consensus Standards)
  - ii. Have licenses that allow open use by providers, researchers, developers, patients and other stakeholders (though national licensing where appropriate)
  - iii. Are designed to address multiple needs (e.g., clinical care, research, public health, and administrative needs).
  - iv. Are international or cross-mapped to international standards to allow for multi-regional pooled research
  
- b. In areas where code sets that do not conform to this policy are currently required by Federal actors, we **recommend** that ONC work with key Federal stakeholders (such as NLM, CMS, FDA, NIH, etc.). to transition the nation towards terminology meeting the policy through means including, but limited to, licensing, working with terminology curators to align development with the policy, or transitioning to alternate terminology standards.
  
- c. We **recommend** that ONC use direct levers to continue to standardize laboratory results terminology, while working with related agencies of HHS (primarily FDA [analyte machines] and CMS [CLIA]) to correctly code laboratory data to LOINC and UCUM (or other relevant terminology such as SNOMED-CT for microbiology samples) at the source (analyte where possible, LIMS where not); see, in addition, the transmittal letter of approved recommendations from the ISP Task Force's initial deliberations in 2019:  
[https://www.healthit.gov/sites/default/files/page/2019-12/2019-10-16\\_ISP\\_TF\\_Final\\_Report\\_signed\\_508.pdf](https://www.healthit.gov/sites/default/files/page/2019-12/2019-10-16_ISP_TF_Final_Report_signed_508.pdf)).





- d. We **recommend** that ONC, directly and through coordination with CMS, harmonize procedural coding standards to standards meeting the policy goals listed above.
- e. We **recommend** that ONC, In the transition to ICD11, work with CMS and NLM to ensure that SNOMED-CT and ICD11 harmonization will allow single source use of captured clinical data for clinical care, research, and administrative workflows.
- f. We **recommend** that ONC work with FDA and CMS to continue to harmonize NDC to RxNorm, treating RxNorm as the source terminology set, and to harmonize administrative and electronic prescribing standards to use RxNorm as the single source of clinical data for clinical care, research and administrative workflows, replacing NDC for such purposes.

#### ISP-TF-2021\_Recommendation 04 – Health Equity

The task force found that even though as much as 40-50% of an individual's health depends on social determinates such as access to nutritious food, adequate housing, affordable transportation, etc. there are no well-accepted standards to consistently codify, capture, and share these important social determinants of health (SDOH.) HL7's Gravity Project, a multi-stakeholder spin-off of work begun at UCSF, has convened over 1800 participants from across the health and human services ecosystem to systematically define nomenclature formalisms to codify core SDOH data about an individual, as well as to standardize mappings of these nomenclatures to HL7 FHIR resources, including FHIR Questionnaires that can be used to capture SDOH data in a consistent manner. Additionally, the project will propose appropriate workflows to enable consent-driven, and API-enabled sharing of SDOH as authorized by the individual and provider.

The Task Force found that USCDI terminology for Sex, Race/Ethnicity and Address, with proposed additions for gender identity and sexual preferences, are sufficient to assess demographics to identify impact of social disparities but that data does not currently flow transparently through interoperability specifications and deployed use.

#### Recommendations

- a. The ISP Task Force endorses the USCDI Task Force recommendations that ONC should incorporate HL7 Gravity Project nomenclature and value set standards into USCDI.





- b. The Task Force recommends that ONC ensure that the ISA track the interoperability priorities identified by the Gravity Project, including the capture of SDOH inside regular clinical workflows via FHIR Questionnaires, and the capture of individual consent to authorize such sharing.
- c. The Task Force recommends that ONC implement policy to ensure deployment of associated interoperability standards and EHR certification requirements that prioritize the capture **and** exchange of demographic and contact data for multiple purposes, including public health. As an example, where current exchange of non-standard laboratory orders and results is being conducted without exchange of data needed to assess health disparities, modernizing to use standards and implementation guidance (e.g., LOI/LRI interfaces) would also address appropriate data exchange of demographic and address information. The Task Force presumes that addition to USCDI of further data on demographics and SDOH will be accompanied by expansions of implementation guidance to transmit that data where appropriate, in accordance with individual preferences and directives.
- d. The Task Force **recommends** that ONC continue the work to harmonize patient address data models and standards to provide better geolocation interoperability to allow EHR data use to correlate health outcomes with other geolocated information (pollution, food deserts, communicable disease outbreaks, etc.)

### **ISP-TF-2021 Recommendation 05 – EHR data use for research, Real World Evidence, RECOVERY-like trials, comparative effectiveness**

While the US has the largest deployed base of electronic health records, the UK did the lion's share of prospective pragmatic trials for treatment for COVID-19; many US based institutions have invested in research data models and performed broad observational analyses relevant to the learning health system for COVID-19.

The ISP Task Force found that most such systems used multiple research models, and often needed to perform lossy translation between models to accomplish research outcomes.

The ISP Task Force found that lack of source normalization and administrative standards divergence creates burden for EHR data use for research.





## Recommendations

In the interests of clarity, in these recommendations, we seek to reduce the effort needed to reuse clinical data for research needs. Our intent is not to prioritize research needs over clinical care as a primary task for deployed EHRs and clinical staff or to suggest that research data capture should be added to the existing load of clinical and administrative data capture.

- a. The Task Force **recommends** that ONC support the *catalogue* of common research data models in the ISA and support existing work by stakeholders to evaluate, develop and harmonize to a common foundational research model mapped to the USCDI, and cross-mapped to FHIR. (For clarity, while, in the immortal words of Dr. Doug Fridsma, M.D., PhD, “in informatics, whatever you can do, I can do meta”, we are primarily calling for supporting the community in achieving a common deployed model rather than creating meta-models to cross map between existing models).
- b. We **recommend** that ONC work with FDA, CDC, CMS, Federal health care providers (VA, DoD MHS, IHS), NIH/NCI, and other Federal actors to harmonize to the common research data model.
- c. The Task Force **recommends** that ONC create sections in the ISA and work with stakeholders to develop, test and promulgate standards and IGs for representation and implementation of pragmatic research studies within EHRs. Priority areas of opportunity include:
  - Consent (see FHIR recommendations)
  - Prospective randomization, enrollment and de-enrollment
  - Separation of research and clinical data
  - Terminology for pre-approval new chemical entities, biologics & devices
  - ONC should work with stakeholders to assess other EHR opportunities relative to research.

See also our parallel foundational recommendations for content, model and vocabulary standards.

### ISP-TF-2021\_Recommendation 06 – Harmonization of Clinical and Administrative Data for Burden Reduction

The task force found that the ICAD Task Force observations and recommendations documented the many burdens introduced and promulgated by inappropriate separation of clinical and administrative data sets, divergent workflows, and duplicative documentation efforts.





## Recommendations

The ISA Task Force endorses the ICAD Task Force recommendations as expressed in the HITAC transmittal to the National Coordinator.

- a. We **recommend** that ONC add sections to the ISA to track relevant “interoperability priorities” related to the harmonization of clinical and administrative data, and track items being addressed by the extant Da Vinci, FAST-FHIR, X12, NCPDP as well as other HL7 FHIR Accelerator projects.
- b. We **recommend** that ONC harmonize the implied administrative data model expressed in X12 and NCPDP administrative transactions to USCDI to ensure that EHR clinical data capture is maximally available to address administrative needs at low patient and clinician burden.

***See the foundational terminology standards section for recommendations on terminology for procedures and problems***

### ISP-TF-2021\_Recommendation 07 – Situational Awareness

The Task Force heard from the leads on the SANER project, which the task force found is an impressive project addressing urgent needs for the nation. The Task Force additionally found that unclear policy and funding mechanisms led to a lack of readiness for emergency response. Additionally, state-by-state variations in expected data elements and data format contributed this lack of readiness.

## Recommendations

- a. We **recommend** that ONC list Situational Awareness interoperability priorities in the ISA and should catalog SANER as well as related standards and IGs; ONC should work with stakeholders on pilots and early implementation, evaluate and mature standards towards broader adoption.
- b. We **recommend** that ONC work with stakeholders at HHS to create aligned policy and funding mechanisms to harmonize adoption of a combined situational awareness standard that maximizes readiness and minimizes state-by-state divergence.





## FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

A number of additional areas of potential interoperability standards priority were identified by the Task Force members but were unable to be fully addressed in the limited time available.

We feel that future work is warranted in the following areas:

- Care Plans/Chronic Dx Management
- Data Sharing Between Federal & Commercial Entities
- Portal Data Aggregation Across Multiple Portals
- Occupation and Location of Work





# Appendix A

## Task Force Roster

Name	Organization
<b>Arien Malec (Co-Chair)</b>	Change Healthcare
<b>David McCallie (Co-Chair)</b>	Individual (retired, Cerner)
Ricky Bloomfield	Apple
Cynthia Fisher	PatientRightsAdvocate.org
Valerie Grey	New York eHealth Collaborative
Jim Jirjis	HCA Healthcare
Edward Juhn	Inland Empire Health Plan
Ken Kawamoto	University of Utah Health
Victor Lee	Clinical Architecture
Leslie Lenert	Medical University of South Carolina
Clem McDonald	National Library of Medicine
Ming Jack Po	Ansible Health
Raj Ratwani	MedStar Health
Ram Sriram	National Institute of Standards and Technology
Sasha TerMaat	Epic
Andrew Truscott	Accenture

