

USCDI Task Force 2021 Recommendations

Steven Lane, Co-Chair Leslie Kelly Hall, Co-Chair

April 15, 2021

Health Information Technology Advisory Committee





Task Force Recommendations and Report

Charges

2

- Membership
- Background
- Phase 1 Recommendations
- Phase 2 Work Plan

Task Force Charge

Overarching charge:

Review and feedback on the Draft USCDI Version 2 content and process

Specific charges:

- 1 Evaluate Draft USCDI v2 and provide HITAC with recommendations for:
 - **1a** Data classes and elements from USCDI v1 including applicable standards version updates
 - 1b New data classes and elements from Draft USCDI v2 including applicable standards
 - 1c Level 2 data classes and elements not included in Draft USCDI v2
- 2 Evaluate the USCDI expansion process and provide HITAC with recommendations for: September 9, 2021
 - 2a- ONDEC submission system improvements
 - 2b Evaluation criteria and process used to assign levels to submitted data classes and elements
 - 2c Prioritization process used by ONC to select new data classes and elements for Draft USCDI v2
- 3 Recommend ONC priorities for USCDI version 3 submission cycle

April 15, 2021

Due

September 9, 2021



Task Force Roster



| Name | Organization | Name | Organization |
|------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Steven Lane (Co-Chair) | Sutter Health | Aaron Miri | The University of Texas a Austin, Dell Medical Scho |
| Leslie Kelly Hall (Co-Chair) | Engaging Patient Strategy | | and UT Health Austin |
| Ricky Bloomfield | Apple | Brett Oliver | Baptist Health |
| Hans Buitendijk | Cerner | Mark Savage | University of California, Sa |
| Grace Cordovano | Enlightening Results | Mark Gavage | Francisco's Center for Digit Health Innovation |
| Jim Jirjis | HCA Healthcare | Michelle Schreiber | CMS |
| Ken Kawamoto | University of Utah Health | Sasha TerMaat | Epic |
| John Kilbourne | VA | Andrew Truscott | Accenture |
| Leslie Lenert | Medical University of South Carolina | Sheryl Turney | Anthem, Inc. |
| | | Daniel Vreeman | RTI International |
| Clement McDonald | National Library of Medicine | Denise Webb | Indiana Hemophilia and Thrombosis Center |



Draft USCDI v2





Allergies and Intolerances **Problems Vital Signs** Laboratory Diagnostic Imaging ★ •••• Diastolic Blood Problems • Substance (Medication) Tests 🛛 Diagnostic Imaging Order 📩 🔹 Date of Diagnosis 🗙 • Substance (Drug Class) Pressure Values/Results Diagnostic Imaging Report 📩 Systolic Blood Pressure • Date of Resolution ★ Reaction Laboratory Report Narrative → Diagnostic Imaging Narrative 🗲 • Body Height Pathology Report Narrative Body Weight **Procedures** Assessment and • Heart Rate **Plan of Treatment** Medications t Encounter Information ★ Procedures **Respiratory Rate** Medications Assessment and **Body Temperature** \star • Encounter Type Plan of Treatment **Provenance** • Pulse Oximetry • Encounter Diagnosis × Inhaled Oxygen Concentration × • Encounter Time Author Time Stamp **Patient Demographics** 0 **BMI Percentile (2-20 Years)** Author Organization First Name **Care Team Members** Weight-for-length Percentile Last Name (Birth-36 Months) Care Team Members Goals Occipital-frontal Head Previous Name **Smoking Status** \star Provider Name Patient Goals **Circumference Percentile (Birth-**Middle Name (incl. middle initial) * Provider Identifier Smoking Status 36 Months) Suffix • • Birth Sex Unique Device Identifier(s) **Health Concerns Clinical Notes** Date of Birth for a Patient's Implantable Health Concerns Race Consultation Note Device(s) Discharge Summary Note • Ethnicity Unique Device Identifier(s) Immunizations • Preferred Language History & Physical for a Patient's Implantable Current Address Procedure Note Immunizations Device(s) Progress Note **Previous Address** Phone Number Phone Number Type

Email Address

New Data Class or Element Key★ → Data Element reclassified

5

USCDI Version Update Process







Task 1a Recommendations -Evaluate v1 Data Elements and Standards



Task 1a Recommendations -Evaluate v1 Data Elements and Standards

| Data Class | Assessment and Plan of Treatment |
|-----------------|--|
| Data Element | Assessment and Plan of Treatment |
| Recommendations | Clarify need for both Assessment and Plan of Treatment elements, separately |
| Justification | Unclear scope and definitions |



Task 1a Recommendations -Evaluate v1 Data Elements and Standards

| Data Class | Diagnostic Imaging |
|----------------|---|
| Data Element | Diagnostic Imaging Order |
| Recommendation | Clarify scope or limits of imaging study types (only radiology, or includes visible light photographic or video images, e.g., from endoscopic studies?) |



Task 1a Recommendations -Evaluate v1 Data Elements and Standards

| Data Class | Laboratory |
|----------------|--|
| Data Element | Test |
| Recommendation | Clarify scope and definition to distinguish from Diagnostic Studies/Exams |



Task 1a Recommendations -Evaluate v1 Data Elements and Standards

| Data Class | Laboratory |
|----------------|---|
| Data Element | Values/Results |
| Recommendation | Add Unified Code for Units of Measure (UCUM) as applicable standard |
| Justification | UCUM is widely used for lab result values |



Task 1a Recommendations -Evaluate v1 Data Elements and Standards

| Data Class | Problems |
|----------------|---|
| Data Element | Problems |
| Recommendation | Add ICD-10 as applicable standard (allowed) |
| Justification | ICD-10 is commonly used to represent problems |



Task 1a Recommendations -Evaluate v1 Data Elements and Standards

| Data Class | Procedures |
|----------------|---|
| Data Element | Procedures |
| Recommendation | Clarify definition and scope of procedures, whether it includes diagnostic <u>and</u> therapeutic procedures. Differentiate between diagnostic studies and |
| | procedures |
| Justification | If Diagnostic Studies data class is added, need to distinguish diagnostic and therapeutic procedures |



Task 1b Recommendations – Evaluate New Data Elements in Draft v2



Task 1b Recommendations – Evaluate New Data Elements in Draft v2

| Data Class | Care Team Members |
|----------------|---|
| Data Elements | Provider Identifier |
| Recommendation | Should include identifier code system (i.e., NPI) and version |



Task 1b Recommendations – Evaluate New Data Elements in Draft v2

| Data Class | Care Team Members |
|----------------|---|
| Data Elements | Provider Identifier Provider Name |
| Recommendation | Change data element names to Care Team Member Name and Identifier |
| Justification | Data elements pertain to all care team members, including non-providers and family caregivers |



Task 1b Recommendations – Evaluate New Data Elements in Draft v2

| Data Class | Diagnostic Imaging |
|---|--|
| Data Element (Reclassified from Clinical Notes) | Diagnostic Imaging Narrative |
| Recommendation | Remove |
| Justification | Questionable value of stand-alone Narrative element without context of whole report |
| Data Element | Diagnostic Imaging Report |
| Recommendation | Support new element, but clarify definition and scope to require both structured and unstructured/narrative components |



Task 1b Recommendations – Evaluate New Data Elements in Draft v2

| Data Class | Encounter Information |
|----------------|--|
| Data Elements | Encounter Diagnosis |
| Recommendation | Specifically reference coded billing diagnoses for encounters. |



Task 1b Recommendations – Evaluate New Data Elements in Draft v2

| Data Class | Encounter Information |
|----------------|--|
| Data Elements | Encounter Time |
| Recommendation | Clarify scope and definitions of timing element(s) and provide examples (does it include start/stop time, admission/discharge time, scheduled/arrival time?) |
| Justification | The duration of encounters is utilized in CMS electronic clinical quality measures (eCQMs) and is beneficial for workflow management. |



Task 1b Recommendations – Evaluate New Data Elements in Draft v2

| Data Class | Laboratory |
|--|--|
| Data Elements (Reclassified from Clinical Notes) | Laboratory Report Narrative Pathology Report Narrative |
| Recommendation | Remove |
| Justification | Questionable value of stand-alone Narrative elements without context of whole report |



Task 1b Recommendations – Evaluate New Data Elements in Draft v2

| Data Class | Laboratory |
|----------------|--|
| Data Element | Value/Result |
| Recommendation | Support new element, but clarify definition and scope of Value/Result to require both structured and unstructured/narrative components |
| Justification | Aligns with Laboratory Value/Result containing structured and unstructured (narrative) content |



Task 1c Recommendations – Level 2 data classes and elements not in Draft USCDI v2



Task 1c Recommendations – Level 2 data classes and elements not in Draft USCDI v2

| Data Class | Care Team Members |
|----------------|--|
| Data Elements | Provider Role Provider Location Provider Telecom Information Provider NPI Provider DEA Number |
| Recommendation | Add these level 2 data elements to v2 Change names from Provider to Care Team |
| Justification | Supports communication and coordination between care team members and automation of information routing. These data elements are well specified, understood and routinely documented. |



Task 1c Recommendations – Level 2 data classes and elements not in Draft USCDI v2

| Data Class | Diagnostic Studies/Exams |
|-----------------|---|
| Data Element | Diagnostic studies and exams with results |
| Recommendations | Add to USCDI v2 Clarify the scope and definitions for diagnostic study types or categories including (without images): Colonoscopy Echocardiogram Electrocardiogram Pulmonary Function Tests |
| Justification | Diagnostic studies represents a significant gap area in USCDI v1 and Draft v2 |
| | |



Task 1c Recommendations – Level 2 data classes and elements not in Draft USCDI v2

| Data Class | Encounter Information |
|----------------|---|
| Data Element | Encounter Disposition |
| Recommendation | Add data element, applicable to at least hospital, short stay and ED encounters and long term care when possible Applicable standard: HL7 Discharge Disposition code system |
| Justification | Already collected in CEHRT modules, used for billing, eCQMs, attribution, accountability |



Task 1c Recommendations – Level 2 data classes and elements not in Draft USCDI v2

| Data Class | Encounter Information |
|----------------|--|
| Data Element | Encounter Location |
| Recommendation | Add data element to USCDI v2 Specify to include or allow TIN/CCN as location identifiers |
| Justification | Compliments new Provider Identifier element to enable capture of facility or organization identifiers for encounters |



Task 1c Recommendations – Level 2 data classes and elements not in Draft USCDI v2

| Data Class | Medication |
|----------------|--|
| Data Element | Discharge Medications |
| Recommendation | Add, contingent on inclusion in HL7® US Core and C- CDA Implementation Guides |
| Justification | Distinction of discharge medications is key to safe transitions of care, coordination of care, and patient / caregiver engagement. |



Task 1c Recommendations – Level 2 data classes and elements not in Draft USCDI v2

| Data Class | Orders |
|----------------|---|
| Data Element | Types of orders for medical care/services |
| Recommendation | Add to v2 Specify that orders for end of life care (palliative, hospice, comfort care) be included in certification testing |
| Justification | Interoperability of orders would add needed flexibility to patients and providers, allowing orders to move more easily between organizations, facilitating patient choice and greater value. |



Task 1c Recommendations – Level 2 data classes and elements not in Draft USCDI v2

| Data Class | Patient Demographics |
|----------------|---|
| Data Element | Gender Identity Sexual Orientation |
| Recommendation | Add, contingent on inclusion in HL7® USCore and C- CDA Implementation Guides |
| Justification | Gender identity and sexual orientation data are fundamental to patient safety, equity and care. |



Task 1c Recommendations – Level 2 data classes and elements not in Draft USCDI v2

| Data Class | Patient Demographics |
|----------------|---|
| Data Element | Medicare Beneficiary ID |
| Recommendation | Add, contingent on inclusion in HL7® USCore and C- CDA Implementation Guides |
| Justification | MBI is readily available, required for billing, advances linkage between billing and EHRs |



Task 1c Recommendations – Level 2 data classes and elements not in Draft USCDI v2

| Data Class | Social Determinants of Health |
|----------------|--|
| Data Element | Assessment Problems/Health Concerns Interventions Goals Outcomes |
| Recommendation | Add these level 2 data elements Request ONC to prioritize the relevant IGs for finalization as a prerequisite to adding these data elements to USCDI. |
| Justification | Collection and use of SDOH data elements provide support for the social and behavioral factors which impact individuals disease state, treatment and care. |



Task Force suggestions for consideration for USCDI Version 3



Task Force suggestions for consideration for USCDI Version 3

| Data Class | Suggestions for development for future USCDI versions |
|-----------------------|--|
| Encounter Information | Encounter Disposition applies to long term care Reason for Encounter (AKA Chief Complaint) |
| Orders | Additional End of Life Care orders: - Obligation or Prohibition Instruction for Life Sustaining Treatment (DNR/DNI) - Portable Medical Orders for Life-Sustaining Treatments (POLST/MOLST) |
| Advance Directives | Advance Directive Observation Care Experience Preference Durable Medical Power of Attorney Living Will Personal Advance Care Plan Quality of Life Priorities |



Further Discussion: Guidance Needed

- Discussions continue around scope and alignment if USCDI regulatory efforts and stakeholder needs.
- Stakeholders present different and sometimes competing needs.
 - Data Underserved:
 - Patients, public health, FQHC e.g.
 - Providers
 - Payers
 - Regulatory bodies
 - Others?

- Phase 2 Planning
 - Task 2 Evaluate the USCDI expansion process
 - Task 3 Recommend ONC priorities for USCDI version 3 submission cycle
- Stakeholder Guidance Needed



Task Force suggestions for consideration for USCDI Version 3

- The task force encourages ONC to promote all Level 2 data elements within a class when these elements are mature, in broad use, and well- represented by standards already used for other data elements.
 - Examples include: Facility Level Data, Patient Demographics.
- The task force also encourages ONC to consider expansions of USCDI that bring utility to new stakeholders.

Task Force Charges and Due Dates

Overarching charge:

Review and provide recommendations on the Draft USCDI Version 2 content and process

Specific charges:

- Evaluate Draft USCDI v2 and provide HITAC with recommendations for:
 1a Data classes and elements from USCDI v1 including applicable standards version updates
 1b New data classes and elements from Draft USCDI v2 including applicable standards
 - 1c Level 2 data classes and elements not included in Draft USCDI v2

2 Evaluate the USCDI expansion process and provide HITAC with recommendations for: September 9, 2021

- **2a** ONDEC submission system improvements
- 2b Evaluation criteria and process used to assign levels to submitted data classes and elements
- 2c Prioritization process used by ONC to select new data classes and elements for Draft USCDI v2
- 3 Recommend ONC priorities for USCDI version 3 submission cycle



```
April 15, 2021
```

September 9, 2021





Phase 2 Scheduled Meetings

- April 20, 2021
- April 27, 2021
- May 4, 2021
- May 11, 2021
- May 18, 2021
- May 25, 2021





Questions?