



U.S. Core Data for Interoperability Task Force

Christina Caraballo, Co-Chair Terry O'Malley, Co-Chair

August 9, 2019



Agenda

- Call to Order/Roll Call
- Opening Remarks
- Review and Discuss Level Promotion Criteria
- Public Comment
- Next Steps and Adjourn



USCDI Task Force Charge: Data Element Promotion Model

- **Overarching Charge:** Review and provide feedback on the U.S. Core Data for Interoperability (USCDI) Data Element Promotion Model.
- Specific Charge: Provide recommendations on the following:
 - » Promotion Model Lifecycle for Submitted Data Elements
 - » Data Element Submission Information
 - » Data Element Promotion Criteria
- Supplemental: Discuss additional defining criteria as needed



Work Plan – Phase 2

Meeting Date	Potential Discussion Items
May 17, 2019	Phase 2 Kickoff
	 Discuss Promotion Model Guidelines
May 31, 2019	 Discuss Promotion Model Lifecycle
June 14, 2019	Discuss Data Element Submission Criteria
June 28, 2019	Discuss Level 1 Classification
July 12, 2019	Discuss Level 2 Classification
July 26, 2019	Discuss Level Promotion Criteria
August 9, 2019	Discuss Level Promotion Criteria
August 23, 2019	Discuss Level Promotion Criteria
September 6, 2019	Discuss Draft Recommendations for HITAC
September 17, 2019	Present recommendations to HITAC

Review and Discuss Level Promotion Criteria

- Assess need and level of specificity required for each criterion
- Discuss whether "Comments" column entries will need more description
 - » [see Google document]





To make a comment please call:

Dial: 1-877-407-7192

(once connected, press "*1" to speak)

All public comments will be limited to three minutes.

You may enter a comment in the "Public Comment" field below this presentation.

Or, email your public comment to <u>onc-hitac@accelsolutionsllc.com</u>.

Written comments will not be read at this time, but they will be delivered to members of the Workgroup and made part of the Public Record.







Health IT Advisory Committee

Meeting Adjourned


@HHSONC

@ONC_HealthIT



7

USCDI Promotion Model – Annual Promotion/Status





Promotion Model Guidelines

- Any individual or entity may submit a Data Element to the USCDI process and contribute to a Data Element's promotion.
- The USCDI Promotion Process guidelines and criteria will be transparent to the public.
- The Data Element information submitted for entry to the USCDI Promotion Process will determine whether the Data Element enters Level 1, Level 2, or requires more development before entering the Process.
- No newly-proposed Data Element can proceed directly into the USCDI.
- Data Elements that do not demonstrate technical development activities will be removed from the promotion process after specified periods of time.



Promotion Model Lifecycle for Submitted Data Elements

- Submitted Data Elements exist as "Comments" and are then classified by ONC.
- Data Elements not classified into Level 1 or Level 2 have three submission cycles from the ONC final decision period before they are removed. Data Element submissions may be updated and resubmitted to be reviewed again.
- Once classified into Level 1 or Level 2, a Data Element has up to three submission cycles to be promoted to its next level (from Level 1 to Level 2, or Level 2 to USCDI).
- When a Data Element is removed from the process due to lack of progress, it is archived in the Comments section.
- After a Data Element's classification has been published a submitter will have an opportunity to ask for a debrief on the classification decision.



Data Element Promotion Criteria: From "Comment" to Level 1 classification

- A new Data Element submission must include the following information:
 - » Data Element name and description
 - » Why should this Data Element be captured and exchanged nationwide?
 - » Do systems currently capture this Data Element?
 - » Do standards exist to represent and exchange this Data Element?
 - » Please describe any testing, pilots, or production use of the Data Element.
- To be formally entered into the Promotion Process at Level 1, a Data Element must meet the following requirements:
 - » Identify at least one developed use case, including its relevance to nationwide exchange
 - » Identify at least one content standard (or implementation guide) with which it can be used
 - » Demonstrate that it has been tested for exchange



Data Element Promotion Criteria To move from Level 1 to Level 2 classification

- To be eligible to move to Level 2, a Data Element must demonstrate that it has achieved sufficient technical development to be tested at scale:
 - Have a definition for the Data Element, including technical representation (structured or unstructured) in at least one content standard (or implementation guide) and, if applicable, vocabulary or value set binding; and
 - » Has been tested successfully in at least two independent systems.



Data Element Promotion Criteria To move from Level 2 to the USCDI (1/2)

- 1. Technical Maturity The Data Element must demonstrate that it:
 - » Has been tested successfully in at least four independent systems.
 - » Has formal, published documentation for its representation and exchange.
- 2. Nationwide Applicability The Data Element submission must include the following information:
 - » How it impacts healthcare costs for individuals and populations
 - » Estimated number of providers who would use this Data Element
 - » Whether there are any restrictions to the Data Element's standardization
 - » Estimated industry burden to implement the Data Element




Data Element Promotion Criteria To move from Level 2 to the USCDI (2/2)

- The Health IT Advisory Committee (HITAC) would be charged to review Level 2 Data Elements that seek to move into the USCDI, including
 - » Assess the cumulative impact of USCDI-recommended Data Elements; and
 - » Provide recommendations to the National Coordinator on an annual basis.
- A Data Element would be added to the USCDI when the National Coordinator approves its promotion, weighing feedback from public comment and HITAC recommendations.



USCDI and Standards Versions Advancement Process Annual Relationship (Example Post-Final Rule)





Task Force Members

First Name	Last Name	Organization	Organization Type				
CO-CHAIRS							
Christina Caraballo		Audacious Inquiry	Consultant/Patient Advocacy				
Terrence	O'Malley	Massachusetts General Hospital	Health & Hospital Organization				
MEMBERS							
Tina	Esposito	Advocate Aurora Health	Health & Hospital Organization				
Valerie	Grey	New York eHealth Collaborative	Health IT Organization				
Kensaku	Kawamoto	University of Utah Health	Health & Hospital Organization				
Steven	Lane	Sutter Health Health & Hospital Organization					
Leslie	Lenert	Medical University of South Carolina	Health & Hospital Organization				
Clem	McDonald	National Library of Medicine (NLM)	Federal				
Brett	Oliver	Baptist Health	Health & Hospital Organization				
Steve	Ready	Norton Healthcare	Health & Hospital Organization				
Mark	Roche	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Federal				
Sasha	TerMaat	Epic	EHR Vendor				
Sheryl	Turney	Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield	Health IT Technology				
ONC STAFF							
AI	Taylor	ONC	Federal				
Adam	Wong	ONC	Federal				

USCDI v1

Data Elements in blue are already included in the 2015 Common Clinical Data Set (CCDS).

A SET OF DATA CLASSES TO SUPPORT NATIONWIDE INTEROPERABILITY

The USCDI Version 1 (USCDI v1) is proposed as a standard (§ 170.213). It reflects the same data classes referenced by the CCDS definition and includes new required data classes and data elements, noted below.

If adopted, health IT developers will need to update their certified health IT to support the USCDI for all certification criteria affected by this change.

Data Elements in pink are those for which ONC seeks recommendations in the Phase 1 charge.

	USCDI v1							
n	Assessment and Q Plan of Treatment	• Tests	5		thor Time Stamp			
or	Care Team Members 🔒 🖁	Values/Results		Author Organiza	tion			
٢S	Clinical Notes *NEW	Medications • Medication Allergies	Smoking Status		4			
ns	Consultation Note Discharge Summary Note		ies 💻	Unique Device Identifier(s) for a				
	History & Physical	Patient Demographics • First Name • Last Name • Previous Name • Middle Name (including • Middle Name • Preferred Language	Patient's Implantable Device(s)					
	Imaging Narrative Laboratory Report Narrative Pathology Report Narrative Procedure Note Progress Note		Date of Birth Race Ethnicity	Vital Signs • Diastolic Blood Pressure • Systolic Blood Pressure	 Pulse oximetry Inhaled oxygen concentration 	2		
	Goals • Patient Goals	• Suffix	Address *NEW Phone Number *NEW	Body Height Body Weight Heart Rate	 Pediatric Vital Signs BMI percentile per a and sex for youth 2- Weight for age per 	ge		
	Health Concerns 🔊 🗩	Problems	*	 Respiratory rate Body 	 Weight for age per length and sex Occipital-frontal 			
	Immunizations	Procedures	Procedures la Temperature ci		circumference for			
	Hoalth IT Advisory Comm	vittaa U.S. Cara Data	a for Interoperabi	ility Tack Force		1		

Health IT Advisory Committee – U.S. Core Data for Interoperability Task Force