

**USCDI Task Force
Homework (March 2, 2018)
Due March 5th by 2pm ET**

We envision a multi-step process to move from the universe of all possible data classes to the few that become part of the USCDI. **Please give us your thoughts about the initial steps in prioritization.** Our first thought was that entry into the USCDI process should be based more on "value" whereas progress to become a USCDI data class should be based more on technical issues. Sheet two, "Evolving model", provides a short overview of these stages and criteria. Progress to become part of USCDI should be based on a combo of technical issues and impact.

*For reference, we were trying to answer "How does an element advance from Candidate to USCDI, from Emerging to Candidate, from new element to Emerging" ?

Questions to the Task Force:

Please provide your answers below:

1) Does it make sense to use "High value to someone" as the first criterion used to assess a new data class proposed for the USCDI? The step from "All" elements to "New" elements.

1a) Should this be something else?

2) For those data classes that make it through the initial screen, do you think that it is reasonable to apply a set of "Value" criteria to move from "New" to "Emerging"? (Please see the second sheet "Evolving model" for examples of what might be included.)

2a) Is "Value" broadly defined sufficient for this step?

2b) Should there be others?

3) For those elements that make it to Emerging, should their promotion be determined largely by technical issues? (Please see the second sheet "Evolving model" for examples of what might be included.)